Gauge-invariant and coordinate-independent perturbations of stellar collapse I: the interior

Carsten Gundlach
Max-Planck-Institut für Gravitationsphysik (Albert-Einstein-Institut), Schlaatzweg 1, 14473 Potsdam, Germany
Enrico Fermi Institute, University of Chicago, 5640 Ellis Avenue, Chicago, IL 60637 *

José M. Martín-García
Laboratorio de Astrofísica Espacial y Física Fundamental, Apartado 50727, 28080 Madrid, Spain
Universidad Alfonso X El Sabio, Avda. de la Universidad 1, 28691 Villanueva de la Cañada, Madrid, Spain †
(16 June 99)

arXiv:gr-qc/9906068v1 16 Jun 1999

Small non-spherical perturbations of a spherically symmetric but time-dependent background spacetime can be used to model situations of astrophysical interest, for example the production of gravitational waves in a supernova explosion. We allow for perfect fluid matter with an arbitrary equation of state $p = p(\rho, s)$, coupled to general relativity. Applying a general framework proposed by Gerlach and Sengupta, we obtain covariant field equations, in a 2+2 reduction of the spacetime, for the background and a complete set of gauge-invariant perturbations, and then scalarize them using the natural frame provided by the fluid. Building on previous work by Seidel, we identify a set of true perturbation degrees of freedom admitting free initial data for the axial and for the $l \geq 2$ polar perturbations. The true degrees of freedom are evolved among themselves by a set of coupled wave and transport equations, while the remaining degrees of freedom can be obtained by quadratures. The polar $l = 0, 1$ perturbations are discussed in the same framework. They require gauge fixing and do not admit an unconstrained evolution scheme.

04.25.Nx, 04.30.Db, 04.40.Dg, 04.25.Dm

I. INTRODUCTION

In many situations of astrophysical interest, spherical symmetry is a good approximation for modeling a star in general relativity. One possible direction in which to go beyond that approximation is to allow for arbitrary linear perturbations, in order to add gravitational radiation to the picture. This introduces new physics, as the star can now lose energy through gravitational radiation, and a new window of observation, as this gravitational radiation can be detected. Gravitational wave detectors are expected to operate at the necessary sensitivity for the first time within a few years, and a large effort is under way to model possible sources of gravitational radiation. If one allows the spherical background solution to be time-dependent, as we shall do here, one can model for example the gravitational radiation emitted in a (slightly nonspherical) supernova explosion.

We assume here that the matter content is a perfect fluid described by an equation of state $p = p(\rho, s)$ where p is the pressure, ρ the total energy density, and s the entropy per particle. As a consequence of the perfect fluid approximation, s is assumed to be constant along particle trajectories, that is, we neglect the possible sources of entropy generation: heat fluxes, viscosity and chemical reactions. We also assume that there is only a single fluid present.

Both the assumptions of approximate spherical symmetry and perfect fluid matter may be unrealistic for supernovae. Some supernovae are now conjectured to be quite nonspherical, and neutrino transport is believed to play an important role. Here we concentrate on giving a clean mathematical description of an almost spherical perfect fluid, in the belief that this approximation will be useful in some applications.

There are many papers on the linear perturbations of a *static* spherical star, notably a series of papers by Thorne and coworkers [1–6], another series by Cunningham, Price and Moncrief [7], and a paper by Ipser and Price [8]. The spherical symmetry allows one to decouple the perturbations into spherical harmonics. Because of the time-independence of the background, one can consider perturbation modes of the form $\exp(i\omega t)f(r)$ and solve an ODE

*Present address
†Present address

eigenvalue problem for the mode functions $f(r)$. The linear perturbations of a time-*dependent* spherical background were evolved in time by Seidel and coworkers [9]. On a static background two of the perturbations obey trivial equations, and these have sometimes been overlooked in counting the degrees of freedom. The present paper sets up a mathematical and numerical framework for the study of arbitrary linear perturbations on a *time-dependent* spherically symmetric background spacetime.

Even if one fixes the coordinate system (also called the gauge) in the background spacetime, the coordinate freedom of general relativity poses a fresh problem when linear perturbations are added: one cannot easily distinguish infinitesimal physical perturbations of the background from infinitesimal coordinate transformations on the unchanged background. One can overcome this problem either by fixing the perturbation gauge, or by introducing linearly gauge-invariant perturbations. We choose the latter approach because it can easily be reduced to any particular gauge choice. The construction of the gauge-invariants, carried out in Sec. III, is a straightforward application of a general framework (for spherical backgrounds with arbitrary matter) due to Gerlach and Sengupta (from now on GS) [10] that is reviewed in Sec. II.

The equations of GS are covariant in a natural 2+2 split of the spherically symmetric spacetime. These equations are only ready for numerical work after we have broken them up into evolution equations and constraints in Sec. IV. For the axial parity perturbations we can again use a general prescription due to GS. For the polar perturbations posing the initial value problem is difficult, and we use a key idea from Seidel [9] in order to find a subset of perturbations that can be given free initial data and that evolve among themselves, thus deserving the name true degrees of freedom. (The remaining perturbation components are obtained from these by solving the constraints.) We use the fact that the fluid matter provides us with a natural frame field (or set of observers) to decompose all tensors and tensor equations into scalars and scalar equations, and to distinguish evolution equations and constraints, without introducing coordinates.

In many respects our framework is similar to that of Seidel and coworkers. However, our derivation of the gauge-invariants is more systematic, and we first make a covariant 2+2 split of the spacetime, then split the reduced 2-dimensional tensors and tensor equations into frame components using the natural frame provided by the fluid. The final expressions are written so that they clearly display their causal structure. Being independent of background coordinates and linearly gauge-invariant, they can easily be specialized to a particular background coordinate system and perturbation gauge choice, while going in the other direction would be difficult. Seidel also restricts to $l = 2$ angular dependence, and again it would be difficult to reconstruct the general from the special case. In the Appendix, we compare our notation and results to those of Thorne and Seidel.

For completeness, the polar $l = 0$ and $l = 1$ perturbations, for which the gauge-invariant treatment breaks down, are discussed here using equations that are as similar to the ones for $l \geq 2$ as possible. In previous treatments, with the exception of [5], they were often neglected because they do not couple to gravitational radiation.

Because the main application of this framework is to be stellar collapse, we must describe the matching of perturbations from the interior of the star (with both matter perturbations and gravitational waves) to the vacuum exterior (where the matter perturbations are meaningless). This will be done in a future paper.

In the Appendix, we give the background field equations in polar-radial and comoving coordinates, discuss the special case of a static background, and compare our notation with that of Thorne and coworkers and that of Seidel. Finally, we describe a numerical algorithm for evolving the perturbations that we have successfully tested in an application to critical collapse [11,12]. It is simple, second order, stable, and does not require special techniques at the center. As it explicitly uses the characteristic speeds, it allows us to impose purely outgoing boundary conditions at the outer boundary of the numerical domain.

II. REVIEW OF THE GERLACH AND SENGUPTA FRAMEWORK

A. The background spacetime

In describing a spherically symmetric background spacetime and its linear perturbations we follow the route of reducing the system to 2+2 spacetime dimensions in a covariant manner, without introducing coordinates. In the following, we use abstract index notation, where Greek indices denote 4-dimensional spacetime, upper case Latin indices the 2-dimensional (1+1) reduced spacetime, and lower case Latin indices the orbits of the spherical symmetry (2-spheres). We write the general spherically symmetric spacetime as a manifold $M = M^2 \times S^2$ with metric

$$g_{\mu\nu} \equiv \mathrm{diag}\left(g_{AB}, r^2\gamma_{ab}\right), \tag{1}$$

where g_{AB} is an arbitrary Lorentzian metric on M^2, r is a scalar on M^2, with $r = 0$ defining the boundary of M^2, and γ_{ab} is the unit curvature metric on S^2. Points in M^2 are round spheres of area $4\pi r^2$. (We can use r as a coordinate

on M^2, but do not have to.) We introduce covariant derivatives on spacetime, the subspace M^2 and the unit sphere separately, with the notation

$$g_{\mu\nu;\lambda} \equiv 0, \qquad g_{AB|C} \equiv 0, \qquad \gamma_{ab:c} \equiv 0. \tag{2}$$

We shall also need the totally antisymmetric covariant unit tensors on M^2 and S^2 respectively:

$$\epsilon_{AB|C} \equiv 0, \qquad \epsilon_{AC}\epsilon^{BC} \equiv -g_A{}^B, \qquad \epsilon_{ab:c} \equiv 0, \qquad \epsilon_{ac}\epsilon^{bc} \equiv \gamma_a{}^b. \tag{3}$$

We parameterize the stress-energy tensor in spherical symmetry as

$$t_{\mu\nu} \equiv \mathrm{diag}\left(t_{AB}, Qr^2\gamma_{ab}\right). \tag{4}$$

With the shorthand

$$v_A \equiv \frac{r_{|A}}{r} \tag{5}$$

the Einstein equations $G_{\mu\nu} = 8\pi t_{\mu\nu}$ in spherical symmetry, in the 2+2 split, are

$$-2(v_{A|B} + v_A v_B) + (2v_C{}^{|C} + 3v_C v^C - r^{-2})g_{AB} = 8\pi t_{AB}, \tag{6}$$

$$v_C{}^{|C} + v_C v^C - \mathcal{R} = 8\pi Q, \tag{7}$$

where $\mathcal{R} \equiv \frac{1}{2}R^A{}_A$ is the Gauss curvature of g_{AB}. The equation of stress-energy conservation in spherical symmetry is

$$t_{AB}{}^{|B} + 2t_{AB}v^B - 2v^A Q = 0. \tag{8}$$

B. Nonspherical perturbations

Any linear perturbation around spherical symmetry can be decomposed into scalar, vector or tensor fields on M^2 times spherical harmonic scalar, vector or tensor fields on S^2. The spherical harmonic scalars on S^2 obey

$$\gamma^{ab}Y_l^m{}_{:ab} = -l(l+1)Y_l^m. \tag{9}$$

Harmonic vector and tensor fields on S^2 can be constructed from the scalar harmonics. We shall need only vectors and symmetric tensors of rank two. A basis of harmonic vector fields on S^2 is formed for $l \geq 1$ by

$$Y_l^m{}_{:a}, \qquad S_l^m{}_a \equiv \epsilon_a{}^b Y_l^m{}_{:b}. \tag{10}$$

A basis of harmonic symmetric rank-two tensors is formed by

$$Y_l^m\gamma_{ab}, \qquad Z_l^m{}_{ab} \equiv Y_l^m{}_{:ab} + \frac{l(l+1)}{2}Y_l^m\gamma_{ab}, \qquad S_l^m{}_{a:b} + S_l^m{}_{b:a}, \tag{11}$$

where the last two expressions vanish identically for $l = 0, 1$. Linear perturbations with different l, m decouple on a spherically symmetric background. In the following we consider one value of l, m at a time, and no longer write these indices on Y, S_a and Z_{ab}. Furthermore, perturbations with different values of m for the same l have the same dynamics on a spherically symmetric background, so that m will never appear in the field equations. Perturbation fields containing an even power of ϵ_{ab}, such as $Y_{:a}$, are called polar, or even. They decouple from tensor perturbations containing an odd power of ϵ_{ab}, such as S_a, which are called axial, or odd. (Note that even and odd in this sense are not the same as even and odd parity in the standard sense.)

The general axial metric and matter perturbations are parameterized as

$$\Delta g_{\mu\nu} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & h_A^{\mathrm{axial}}S_a \\ \mathrm{Symm} & h(S_{a:b} + S_{b:a}) \end{pmatrix}, \tag{12}$$

$$\Delta t_{\mu\nu} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \Delta t_A^{\mathrm{axial}}S_a \\ \mathrm{Symm} & \Delta t(S_{a:b} + S_{b:a}) \end{pmatrix}. \tag{13}$$

The general polar metric and matter perturbations are

$$\Delta g_{\mu\nu} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} h_{AB}Y & h_A^{\rm polar}Y_{:a} \\ \text{Symm} & r^2(KY\gamma_{ab} + GY_{:ab}) \end{pmatrix}, \tag{14}$$

$$\Delta t_{\mu\nu} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \Delta t_{AB}Y & \Delta t_A^{\rm polar}Y_{:a} \\ \text{Symm} & r^2\Delta t^3 Y\gamma_{ab} + \Delta t^2 Z_{ab} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{15}$$

We retain the notation of GS, except that we have added the superscript polar or axial where necessary to remove an ambiguity. Note also that r^2 does not multiply Δt^2 in the last equation, and that Δt^3 and Δt^2 are scalars.

Let X be an arbitrary tensor field on the background spacetime, and ΔX its linear perturbation. Under an infinitesimal coordinate transformation $x^\mu \to x^\mu + \xi^\mu$, the perturbation is mixed with the background and transforms as

$$\Delta X \to \Delta X + \mathcal{L}_\xi X. \tag{16}$$

The perturbation ΔX is gauge-invariant to linear order if and only if $\mathcal{L}_\xi X = 0$. The existence of gauge-invariant perturbations is therefore linked to symmetries of the background solution. Because of the spherical symmetry of the background, the nonspherical perturbations with $l \geq 2$ can be made gauge-invariant, while $l = 0$ and $l = 1$ perturbations need to be considered separately.

The general infinitesimal coordinate transformation can be parameterized as

$$\xi_\mu \equiv \left(\tilde{\xi}_A Y, r^2\xi Y_{:a} + r^2 M S_a\right). \tag{17}$$

GS work out how all the bare perturbations defined above transform. Then they form invariant linear combinations. Again we consider polar and axial perturbations separately. The split is as follows. There are 3 axial metric perturbations, and 1 axial infinitesimal coordinate transformation, leaving 2 axial gauge-invariant perturbations, in the form of a vector field on M^2. There are $7 - 3 = 4$ polar gauge-invariant metric perturbations, in the form of a symmetric tensor and a scalar. There are 3 axial and 7 polar gauge-invariant matter perturbations. The axial gauge-invariant perturbations are

$$l \geq 1: \qquad k_A \equiv h_A^{\rm axial} - h_{|A} + 2hv_A, \tag{18}$$

$$L_A \equiv \Delta t_A^{\rm axial} - Qh_A^{\rm axial}, \tag{19}$$

$$l \geq 2: \qquad L \equiv \Delta t - Qh, \tag{20}$$

and the polar gauge-invariant perturbations are

$$l \geq 0: \qquad k_{AB} \equiv h_{AB} - (p_{A|B} + p_{B|A}), \tag{21}$$

$$k \equiv K - 2v^A p_A, \tag{22}$$

$$T_{AB} \equiv \Delta t_{AB} - t_{AB|C}p^C - t_{AC}p^C{}_{|B} - t_{BC}p^C{}_{|A}, \tag{23}$$

$$T^3 \equiv \Delta t^3 - (Q_{|C} + 2Qv_C)p^C + \frac{l(l+1)}{2}QG, \tag{24}$$

$$l \geq 1: \qquad T_A \equiv \Delta t_A^{\rm polar} - t_{AC}p^C - \frac{r^2}{2}QG_{|A}, \tag{25}$$

$$l \geq 2: \qquad T^2 \equiv \Delta t^2 - r^2 QG, \tag{26}$$

where with GS we define the shorthand

$$p_A \equiv h_A^{\rm polar} - \frac{1}{2}r^2 G_{|A}. \tag{27}$$

These objects are not gauge-invariant for $l = 0, 1$, but it is useful to work with a single set of definitions and field equations for all l. We just have to take into account that for $l = 0, 1$, G, h, T^2, L vanish and for $l = 0$, h_A^E, h_A^O, T_A, L_A also vanish, and we have to impose an additional gauge fixing in these cases.

To obtain the bare perturbations in an arbitrary gauge, one fixes h, $h_A^{\rm polar}$ and G arbitrarily, and obtains all the other bare perturbations algebraically from these and the gauge-invariants.

There is also a preferred gauge in which $h = h_A^{\rm polar} = G = 0$, the Regge-Wheeler gauge [13]. The gauge-invariants have been defined to correspond one-to-one to the remaining bare perturbations in Regge-Wheeler gauge (from now on RW gauge). One can therefore describe the GS framework also as fixing the gauge to RW gauge. (The GS framework

then still tells us how to transform to any other gauge.) The general axial metric and matter perturbations, in RW gauge but expressed through the gauge-invariants, are

$$\Delta g^{\rm RW}_{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & k_A S_b \\ \text{Symm} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{28}$$

$$\Delta t^{\rm RW}_{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & L_A S_b \\ \text{Symm} & L(S_{a:b} + S_{b:a}) \end{pmatrix}. \tag{29}$$

The general polar metric and matter perturbations are, in RW gauge,

$$\Delta g^{\rm RW}_{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} k_{AB} Y & 0 \\ 0 & k r^2 Y \gamma_{ab} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{30}$$

$$\Delta t^{\rm RW}_{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} T_{AB} Y & T_A Y_{:b} \\ \text{Symm} & r^2 T^3 Y \gamma_{ab} + T^2 Z_{ab} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{31}$$

These expressions are useful both in making calculations and in interpreting the results.

III. THE PERFECT FLUID

We now specialize the GS framework to a spherically symmetric perfect fluid coupled to gravity. In the perfect fluid approximation the entropy per particle s is constant along each particle trajectory (although it may vary between trajectories), and the pressure is isotropic. That is, we neglect heat fluxes, viscosity and chemical reactions, and assume that the fluid has only one component. The fluid is then completely characterized by a single two-parameter equation of state $p = p(\rho, s)$. Within this class, we allow for arbitrary equations of state. Often it may be a good approximation to assume the entropy is constant in space and time, so that the equation of state is simply $p = p(\rho)$ (barotropic fluid). This case can be recovered from our results by setting the specific entropy s to a constant and its perturbation σ (introduced below) to zero throughout. A generalization of our framework to a fluid consisting of several (noninteracting) components would be straightforward: the relative abundances of particle types would be treated in the same way as s and σ.

Instead of giving $p = p(\rho, s)$, many authors give $\rho = \rho(n, s)$ and $p = p(n, s)$ separately, where n is the conserved particle number density. The two specifications are completely equivalent. We have chosen the former as it is the simpler one from a spacetime point of view: n never appears in our equations.

A. The perfect fluid background spacetime

The perfect-fluid stress-energy tensor is,

$$t_{\mu\nu} = (p + \rho) u_\mu u_\nu + p g_{\mu\nu}, \tag{32}$$

where p is the pressure, ρ is the density and u_μ is the fluid 4-velocity. In spherical symmetry, $u_\mu = (u_A, 0)$. This provides an orthonormal basis on M^2, namely the timelike unit vector u_A and the spacelike unit vector

$$n_A \equiv -\epsilon_{AB} u^B. \tag{33}$$

We shall use this basis to transform all tensor fields and tensor equations on M^2 into scalar fields and scalar equations. The metric and fundamental antisymmetric tensor on M^2 can be written as

$$g_{AB} = -u_A u_B + n_A n_B, \qquad \epsilon_{AB} = n_A u_B - u_A n_B. \tag{34}$$

For the spherically symmetric background the stress tensor becomes

$$t_{AB} = \rho\, u_A u_B + p\, n_A n_B, \qquad Q = p \tag{35}$$

We introduce the frame derivatives

$$\dot{f} \equiv u^A f_{|A}, \qquad f' \equiv n^A f_{|A}. \tag{36}$$

They will be useful later in discussing the initial value problem. In order to write our field equations using only scalar quantities, we introduce the following background scalars:

$$\Omega \equiv \ln \rho, \qquad U \equiv u^A v_A = \dot{r}/r, \qquad W \equiv n^A v_A = r'/r, \qquad \mu \equiv u^A{}_{|A}, \qquad \nu \equiv n^A{}_{|A}. \tag{37}$$

Note that Ω, μ and U are $O(r^0)$ and even as functions of r, with $U - \mu = O(r^2)$, W is odd and $O(r^{-1})$, and ν is odd and $O(r)$.

It is useful to define the (spacetime-dependent) Hawking mass m in spherical symmetry as

$$m \equiv \frac{r}{2}\left(1 - r_{,A}r^{,A}\right) = \frac{r}{2}\left[1 + r^2(U^2 - W^2)\right] \tag{38}$$

It is well known that the limit of m in a spacelike and future null direction gives the ADM and Bondi masses respectively, and that $m = r/2$ indicates an apparent horizon. m is odd and $O(r^3)$ as one would expect. It can also be thought of as an integral over the density, in the sense that

$$m' = 4\pi r^2 (rW)\rho. \tag{39}$$

It is often useful to reparameterize U and W in terms of

$$|v|^2 \equiv v^A v_A = -U^2 + W^2, \qquad V \equiv \frac{U}{W}. \tag{40}$$

Note that $|V| < 1$, and in fact V is the velocity of the fluid with respect to constant r observers. $|v|^2$ on the other hand is related to the Hawking mass via (38).

The frame derivatives obey the following commutation relation:

$$(\dot{f})' - (f')\dot{} = \mu f' - \nu \dot{f}. \tag{41}$$

We also have

$$u_{A|B} = n_A(n_B\mu - u_B\nu), \qquad n_{A|B} = u_A(n_B\mu - u_B\nu). \tag{42}$$

The fluid equations of motion are given by the conservation of energy-momentum and by the assumption that the entropy per particle is constant along particle world lines. In our notation, the matter equations in spherical symmetry can be written as

$$\dot{\Omega} + \left(1 + \frac{p}{\rho}\right)(2U + \mu) = 0, \tag{43}$$

$$c_s^2 \Omega' + C s' + \left(1 + \frac{p}{\rho}\right)\nu = 0, \tag{44}$$

$$\dot{s} = 0. \tag{45}$$

The first of these equations is the energy conservation equation (note that $\nabla_\mu u^\mu = 2U + \mu$), while the second is the Euler, or force, equation. The third equation $\dot{s} = 0$ follows from conservation of the stress-energy tensor (32) and the first law of thermodynamics. Here we have defined the shorthands

$$c_s^2 \equiv \left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial \rho}\right)_s, \qquad C \equiv \frac{1}{\rho}\left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial s}\right)_\rho. \tag{46}$$

We shall confirm later that c_s^2 is the speed of sound by displaying a wave equation for sound waves.

From the three Einstein equations (6) and the identity (41) we obtain the relations

$$U' = W(\mu - U) \tag{47}$$

$$\dot{W} = U(\nu - W) \tag{48}$$

$$W' = -4\pi\rho - W^2 + U\mu + \frac{m}{r^3} \tag{49}$$

$$\dot{U} = -4\pi p - U^2 + W\nu - \frac{m}{r^3} \tag{50}$$

From the identity $g^{AB}{}_{|AB} = 0$, using the fourth Einstein equation (7) to eliminate $\mathcal{R}$, we obtain the useful relations

$$\dot{\mu} - \nu' + \mu^2 - \nu^2 = \mathcal{R} = -4\pi(p + \rho) + \frac{2m}{r^3}. \tag{51}$$

We now have a complete list of background identities that we can use later to simplify the perturbation equations. Expressions for the scalars in U, W, μ, ν and m in specific coordinate systems are given in the Appendix. Note that U and μ vanish on a static background.

B. Nonspherical perfect fluid perturbations

There should be 5 independent fluid perturbations, namely a density perturbation, entropy perturbation, and a 3-velocity perturbation. It turns out that 4 of these are polar and 1 is axial. The polar perturbation of the fluid 4-velocity is

$$\Delta u_\mu \equiv \left[\left(\tilde{\gamma} n_A + \frac{1}{2} h_{AB} u^B\right) Y,\ \tilde{\alpha} Y_{:a}\right], \tag{52}$$

while the axial perturbation is just

$$\Delta u_\mu \equiv (0, \tilde{\beta} S_a). \tag{53}$$

Note that the ansatz for Δu_A ensures that $\Delta(g_{\mu\nu} u^\mu u^\nu) = 0$ to linear order. $\tilde{\alpha}$ and $\tilde{\beta}$ parameterize polar and axial tangential fluid motion, while $\tilde{\gamma}$ parameterizes perturbations of the radial fluid motion. The density, pressure, and entropy perturbations are

$$\Delta\rho \equiv \tilde{\omega}\rho Y, \qquad \Delta s \equiv \tilde{\sigma} Y, \qquad \Delta p = \frac{\partial p}{\partial \rho}\Delta\rho + \frac{\partial p}{\partial s}\Delta s = (c_s^2 \tilde{\omega} + C\tilde{\sigma})\rho Y. \tag{54}$$

A gauge-invariant set of fluid perturbations is

$$\alpha \equiv \tilde{\alpha} - p^B u_B, \qquad \beta \equiv \tilde{\beta}, \qquad \gamma \equiv \tilde{\gamma} - n^A \left[p^B u_{A|B} + \frac{1}{2} u^B (p_{B|A} - p_{A|B})\right], \tag{55}$$

$$\omega \equiv \tilde{\omega} - p^A \Omega_{|A}, \qquad \sigma \equiv \tilde{\sigma} - p^A s_{|A} \tag{56}$$

with p_A as defined above.

For the gauge-fixed viewpoint, we give the fluid perturbations in RW gauge, expressed through the gauge-invariant perturbations. The polar perturbations are

$$\Delta u_\mu^{\rm RW} = \left[(\gamma n_A + \frac{1}{2} k_{AB} u^B) Y, \alpha Y_{:a}\right], \qquad \Delta\rho^{\rm RW} = \omega\rho Y, \qquad \Delta s^{\rm RW} = \sigma Y, \qquad \Delta p^{\rm RW} = (c_s^2\omega + C\sigma)\rho Y, \tag{57}$$

and the axial perturbation is

$$\Delta u_\mu^{\rm RW} = (0, \beta S_a). \tag{58}$$

The general gauge-invariant stress-energy perturbations of GS can be expressed in terms of the gauge-invariant fluid perturbations we have just defined. In the axial sector, we have

$$L_A = \beta(\rho + p) u_A, \qquad L = 0, \tag{59}$$

and in the polar sector,

$$T_A = \alpha(\rho + p) u_A, \qquad T^3 = pk + c_s^2 \rho\omega + C\rho\sigma \qquad T^2 = 0, \tag{60}$$

$$T_{AB} = (\rho + p)\left[\gamma(u_A n_B + n_A u_B) + \frac{1}{2}(k_{AC} u_B + u_A k_{BC}) u^C\right] + \omega\rho(u_A u_B + c_s^2 n_A n_B) + \sigma C \rho n_A n_B + p k_{AB}. \tag{61}$$

The only axial fluid perturbation, β, describes equatorial fluid rotation. ω describes total density perturbations, σ entropy perturbations at constant total density, γ describes radial fluid velocity perturbations, and α describes the velocity of tangential fluid motion between the poles and the equator (azimuthal displacement).

IV. THE INITIAL VALUE PROBLEM FOR THE FLUID PERTURBATIONS

The previous section has been a straightforward application of the GS formalism. The perturbed Einstein equations and stress-energy conservation equations are given in terms of the gauge-invariants and in 2+2 covariant notation by GS, and we only need to substitute our parameterization of the gauge-invariant stress-energy perturbations into their equations. Note that for the perfect fluid the conservation of stress-energy, plus the conservation of entropy along particle world lines, is equivalent to the equations of motion. (For a barotropic fluid with $p = p(\rho)$, stress-energy conservation alone is sufficient.) We now turn to the less trivial aspect of breaking these equations up into evolution equations and constraints, that is, of posing and physically interpreting an initial value problem. For the axial sector this is an application of a general method described by GS. For the polar sector we use an idea due to Seidel [9] to isolate the true degrees of freedom.

A. $l \geq 2$ axial perturbations

Extracting a well-posed initial value problem from the gauge-invariant perturbation equations for the axial sector is relatively straightforward. The one nontrivial matter conservation equation is (GS4)

$$(r^2 L_A)^{|A} = (l-1)(l+2)L, \tag{62}$$

Note that for perfect fluid matter, we have $L = 0$. In our notation, and using (43) to eliminate $\dot{\Omega}$, the β equation of motion is

$$\dot{\beta} - c_s^2(2U + \mu)\beta = 0. \tag{63}$$

If the perturbed fluid velocity is to be a regular vector field at the origin, β must scale as $\beta \sim r^{l+1}$. We define

$$\beta \equiv r^{l+1}\bar{\beta}. \tag{64}$$

The new variable $\bar{\beta}$ can be expanded in even positive powers of r near $r = 0$. Its equation of motion is

$$\dot{\bar{\beta}} + \left[-c_s^2(2U + \mu) + (l+1)U\right]\bar{\beta} = 0. \tag{65}$$

As we have $L = 0$, Eq. (62) can be integrated to

$$r^2 L_A \equiv \epsilon_{AB} T^{|B}, \tag{66}$$

where T is a scalar which contains all the information about the axial matter perturbation. The two components of this equation are

$$T' = -r^2(\rho + p)\beta, \qquad \dot{T} = 0. \tag{67}$$

[The integrability condition for these equations is just (63), using (41).] That is, we set β on a given time slice and then we integrate the T' equation for T on that slice. The $\dot{T} = 0$ equation states that T is constant along the integral curves of u^A, that is, each particle of the fluid sees a constant value of T around it. In this sense, the axial matter perturbation is time-independent, even on a time-dependent background.

GS have shown that by defining the scalar

$$\Pi \equiv \epsilon^{AB}(r^{-2}k_A)_{|B} \tag{68}$$

the Einstein equations for k_A can be reduced, for any matter content, to the scalar wave equation valid for $l \geq 2$ (GS17, corrected GSII6.5b')

$$\left[\frac{1}{r^2}(r^4\Pi)_{|A}\right]^{|A} - (l-1)(l+2)\Pi = -16\pi\epsilon^{AB}L_{A|B} \tag{69}$$

which they call the odd-parity master equation. In the case of perfect fluid matter, the equation of motion of L_A does not contain Π, and so can be solved on its own.

The behavior of k_A at $r = 0$ can be calculated by going to Cartesian coordinates. In order to enforce the correct scaling of k_A, we define

$$\Pi \equiv r^{l-2}\bar{\Pi}, \tag{70}$$

where the rescaled field $\bar{\Pi}$ can be expanded in positive even powers of r at $r = 0$. For $\bar{\Pi}$ we obtain the field equation

$$\bar{\Pi}_{|A}^{\ |A} + 2(l+1)v^A\bar{\Pi}_{|A} + (l+2)\left[\frac{r_{|A}{}^{|A}}{r} - (l-1)\frac{2m}{r^3}\right]\bar{\Pi} = -16\pi r(p+\rho)\left\{\bar{\beta}' + \left[(l+1)W + \frac{\Omega'}{\left(1+\frac{p}{\rho}\right)}\right]\bar{\beta}\right\}. \tag{71}$$

Near the origin, this equation has the approximate form

$$-\ddot{\bar{\Pi}} + \bar{\Pi}'' + 2(l+1)r^{-1}\bar{\Pi}' + \text{explicitly regular} = 0, \tag{72}$$

which is suitable for numerical solution. $\bar{\Pi}'$ is an odd function of r, so that $\bar{\Pi}'/r$ is regular at $r = 0$.

The scalar Π codifies all the information about the evolution of the axial parity gravitational wave. In fact, once we know Π we can reconstruct k_A using Eq. (GS16c):

$$(l-1)(l+2)k_A = 16\pi r^2 L_A - \epsilon_{AB}(r^4\Pi)^{|B} = \epsilon_{AB}\left(16\pi T - r^4\Pi\right)^{|B}, \tag{73}$$

where the last equation holds because of $L = 0$. This equation is valid for $l \geq 1$. (For $l = 0$ there are no axial perturbations.) For $l \geq 2$, we obtain k_A from Π. For $l = 1$, however, we obtain a constraint on Π instead. The case $l = 1$ has to be treated separately.

The free axial perturbations (for $l \geq 2$) are the fluid velocity perturbation β, which obeys a transport equation independent of Π, and the metric perturbation Π, which obeys a wave equation with β as a source. On an initial Cauchy surface, one can freely specify β, Π and $\dot{\Pi}$, and in this sense there are three (first-order) degrees of freedom. Note that even though β itself cannot oscillate, a non-vanishing β can couple to nonlinear oscillations of the spherical background to generate waves in Π.

B. $l = 1$ axial perturbations

Physically, we cannot have any degree of freedom in the metric for $l = 1$ and therefore Π cannot obey a wave equation. It has to be constrained. This is clear in Eq. (73), which for $l = 1$ implies:

$$r^4\Pi = 16\pi T + const. \tag{74}$$

The integration constant we have introduced here parameterizes a Kerr-like angular-momentum perturbation that is singular at the origin. It is zero if we demand a regular center. Note that even for $l = 1$, Π is still gauge-invariant, but k_A cannot be reconstructed uniquely form it. Now we have to invert Eq. (68), obtaining k_A with a gauge freedom that is the gradient of an arbitrary scalar.

C. $l \geq 2$ polar perturbations

The polar perturbations are more entangled than the axial ones. Here we follow Seidel [9] in first focusing attention on those components of the linear Einstein equations that do not contain matter variables (except for the entropy perturbation). We shall see that these Einstein equations (plus the evolution equation for the entropy perturbation) can be solved autonomously: derivatives of the metric perturbations contain all the information about the matter perturbations (except for the entropy perturbation).

We use the fact that the fluid provides a natural frame in M^2 to decompose the symmetric tensor k_{AB} in a coordinate-independent way into three scalars, as

$$k_{AB} \equiv \eta(-u_A u_B + n_A n_B) + \phi(u_A u_B + n_A n_B) + \psi(u_A n_B + n_A u_B). \tag{75}$$

The seven linearized Einstein equations of polar parity can be taken as (GS10b), (GS10c), (GS10d) and (GS12, corrected GSII3.13a). We go over to a system of scalar equations by substituting the definitions (75), (36) and (37) into terms like $k_{AB|C}$, and projecting the result on the basis (u, n). The left-hand sides of the perturbed Einstein equations decomposed in this way are given in [12] (with respect to an arbitrary frame). Here we give only the components of the right-hand sides for the perfect fluid (with respect to the fluid frame):

$$l \geq 0: \qquad u^A n^B T_{AB} = -(\rho + p)\gamma + \frac{1}{2}(\rho - p)\psi, \tag{76}$$

$$u^A u^B T_{AB} = \rho\omega + \rho(\eta - \phi), \tag{77}$$

$$n^A n^B T_{AB} = \rho(c_s^2\omega + C\sigma) + p(\eta + \phi), \tag{78}$$

$$T^3 = \rho(c_s^2\omega + C\sigma) + pk, \tag{79}$$

$$l \geq 1: \qquad u^A T_A = -(\rho + p)\alpha, \tag{80}$$

$$n^A T_A = 0, \tag{81}$$

$$l \geq 2: \qquad T^2 = 0. \tag{82}$$

We have indicated for what values of l the corresponding Einstein equations are valid. Because u^A is always timelike and n^A always spacelike the scalar decomposition allows us to disentangle evolution equations and constraints.

In the remainder of this subsection we discuss the case $l \geq 2$, but we always indicate which equations are also valid for $l = 1$ and $l = 0$. The dynamics of $l = 1$ and $l = 0$ are discussed in the following two subsections.

For $l \geq 2$ the vanishing of the source T^2 implies $\eta = 0$. Among the other six source terms we have just given, there are three linear combinations that do not contain α, ω, or γ, and these give rise to three perturbed Einstein equations not coupled to those three matter perturbations (they do contain the entropy perturbation σ). If we choose the three combinations to be

$$T^3 + 2(n^A T_A)' - n^A n^B T_{AB} + 2(2\nu - W) n^A T_A, \tag{83}$$

$$(-c_s^2 u^A u^B + n^A n^B) T_{AB} + 4W n^A T_A, \tag{84}$$

$$n^A T_A, \tag{85}$$

and introduce the new variable

$$\chi \equiv \phi - k + \eta, \tag{86}$$

which from now on replaces ϕ as an independent variable, then the corresponding Einstein equations take the form

$$l \geq 1: \qquad -\ddot{\chi} + \chi'' + 2(\mu - U)\psi' = S_\chi, \tag{87}$$

$$-\ddot{k} + c_s^2 k'' - 2c_s^2 U \psi' = S_k, \tag{88}$$

$$-\dot{\psi} = S_\psi. \tag{89}$$

These are the core equations of our paper. Only the highest derivatives of all variables, which determine the causal structure of the equations, have been written out explicitly. The source terms S_χ, S_k and S_ψ are homogeneously linear in χ and k, and their first derivatives χ', k', $\dot{\chi}$, and $\dot{k}$, as well as ψ and σ (undifferentiated), with background-dependent coefficients, but do not contain any higher derivatives. They are given in Appendix A.

We choose the remaining three components of the Einstein equations as

$$(u^A n^B + n^A u^B) T_{AB}, \tag{90}$$

$$u^A u^B T_{AB}, \tag{91}$$

$$u^A T_A, \tag{92}$$

which give us

$$l \geq 0: \qquad 8\pi(\rho + p)\gamma = (\dot{k})' + C_\gamma, \tag{93}$$

$$8\pi\rho\omega = -k'' + 2U\psi' + C_\omega, \tag{94}$$

$$l \geq 1: \qquad 16\pi(\rho + p)\alpha = \psi' + C_\alpha, \tag{95}$$

where again all the highest derivatives have been written out explicitly, and the right-hand sides do not contain α, γ or ω, (or indeed σ). These equations give α, γ and ω as spatial derivatives of the metric perturbations. Seen the other way around, they form an ODE system for ψ, k and $\dot{k}$ in the radial coordinate which can be solved from the center outwards, with boundary conditions at the center given by local flatness. The correspondence between α, γ and ω on the one hand, and ψ, k and $\dot{k}$ on the other (for given data χ and $\dot{\chi}$, and σ) is therefore one-to-one. (With one proviso discussed at the end of this section.)

The linearized stress-energy conservation equation (GS15a,b, corrected GSII3.14a,b) are equivalent to the following equations of motion for the matter perturbations.

$$l \geq 0: \qquad -\dot{\omega} - \left(1 + \frac{p}{\rho}\right)\left(\gamma + \frac{\psi}{2}\right)' = S_\omega, \tag{96}$$

$$\left(1 + \frac{p}{\rho}\right)\left(\gamma - \frac{\psi}{2}\right)^{\cdot} + c_s^2 \omega' = S_\gamma, \tag{97}$$

$$l \geq 1: \qquad -\dot{\alpha} = S_\alpha. \tag{98}$$

Again we have written out only the highest derivatives. The three source terms are linear in the (undifferentiated) matter perturbations α, γ and ω, and σ, as well as χ, k, χ', k', $\dot{\chi}$, $\dot{k}$, and ψ. The full equations are given in Appendix A. It is possible to remove $\dot{\psi}$ and ψ' from (96) and (97) using the Einstein equations (89) and (95). The matter perturbation equations then do not contain highest derivatives of the metric perturbations. In this form they are most amenable to numerical solution. We obtain

$$l \geq 1: \; -\dot{\omega} - \left(1 + \frac{p}{\rho}\right)\gamma' = \bar{S}_\omega, \tag{99}$$

$$\left(1 + \frac{p}{\rho}\right)\dot{\gamma} + c_s^2 \omega' = \bar{S}_\gamma. \tag{100}$$

To these matter equations we have to add the perturbation of the trivial entropy equation $\dot{s} = 0$, which is

$$l \geq 0: \qquad \dot{\sigma} + \left(\gamma + \frac{\psi}{2}\right) s' = 0. \tag{101}$$

We now introduce auxiliary variables $\chi', k', \dot{\chi}, \dot{k}$ in order to consider the perturbation equations as a system of *first-order* system of evolution equations and constraints for the variables

$$u \equiv \{\chi, \psi, k, \alpha, \gamma, \omega, \sigma, \chi', k', \dot{\chi}, \dot{k}\}. \tag{102}$$

We consider an equation that contains $\dot{u}$ an evolution equation, and an equation that contains only u and u' a constraint. Constraints can be solved within a single timelike hypersurface. (If u^A is not normal to the surface of our choice, we need to use the evolution equations in order to write the constraints as ODEs in the radial coordinate.) Apart from the equations we have already given explicitly, the first-order form introduces trivial evolution equations for χ and k, and trivial constraints for χ' and k'. Altogether we have 11 evolution equations and 5 constraints for 11 first-order variables u. This means that there are, in a first-order in time sense, 6 true degrees of freedom, or 6 functions of the radial coordinate that can be specified freely on a Cauchy surface. A natural choice of these would be the matter perturbations α, γ, ω and σ, plus χ and $\dot{\chi}$ which describe polar gravitational waves. [There are two "polarizations" of gravitational waves, namely axial (Π) and polar (χ).] The metric perturbations ψ, k and $\dot{k}$ would then be obtained from the constraints. While this scheme is the most natural in terms of a split into matter motion and gravitational waves, it maximizes the number of constraints that must be solved. This is an inconvenience both in terms of numerical work and numerical stability.

Seidel [9] has noticed that one can identify the 6 true degrees of freedom with the initial data for the metric perturbations alone, plus the entropy perturbation σ. This means that

$$u_{\rm free} \equiv \{\chi, \psi, k, \sigma, \dot{\chi}, \dot{k}\} \tag{103}$$

can be set freely and evolve among themselves. In a first-order formulation, there remain the trivial two constraints and two evolution equations for the auxiliary variables χ', k', $\dot{\chi}$ and $\dot{k}$, but the three nontrivial constraints are now only used if one wants to reconstruct α, γ and ω as spatial derivatives of the free Cauchy data $u_{\rm free}$.

Let us now consider the causal structure of the equations from a spacetime point of view. The highest derivatives of χ form a wave equation with characteristics given by the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$, and as we have seen, initial data χ and $\dot{\chi}$ can be set independently from the matter perturbations. Therefore, χ can reasonably be said to parameterize gravitational waves inside the matter. The two first-order evolution equations for ω and γ are equivalent to either of the two second-order equations

$$-\ddot{\gamma} + c_s^2 \gamma'' + ... = 0, \tag{104}$$

$$-\ddot{\omega} + c_s^2 \omega'' + ... = 0, \tag{105}$$

where we have only written out the highest derivatives. These are wave equations with characteristics given by the "fluid metric"

$$g_{\mu\nu}^{\rm fluid} \equiv {\rm diag}\left(-c_s^2 u_A u_B + n_A n_B, r^2 \gamma_{ab}\right) \tag{106}$$

The characteristics have speed c_s relative to the fluid background. The evolution equation for k is a wave equation with the same characteristics. It "knows about" the speed of sound because c_s^2 appears in the linear combination, Eq. (84), of Einstein equations that gives rise to this equation. It is surprising that the metric perturbation k describes sound waves. The analogy is correct, nevertheless, as the data ω and γ are essentially the spatial derivatives of the data k and $\dot{k}$. It may be helpful to think of k as describing "longitudinal gravitational waves", made physical by the presence of matter. Finally, ψ is advected with the fluid, as its evolution equation contains $\dot{\psi}$ but not ψ'. The same is true for the matter perturbation α, and not surprisingly, α is essentially the spatial derivative of ψ. α describes tangential fluid motion between the poles and the equator, and is therefore coupled to the density perturbation ω that acts as a restoring force.

Finally, we consider the behavior of the polar perturbations at the origin. We require that the metric perturbation (30) be a regular tensor in four dimensions at $r = 0$, as defined by going to Cartesian, Minkowski-like coordinates near $r = 0$. We find that any regular solution must scale at $r = 0$ as

$$l \geq 0: \qquad \chi \equiv r^{l+2}\bar{\chi}, \qquad \psi \equiv r^{l+1}\bar{\psi}, \qquad k \equiv r^l \bar{k}, \qquad \eta \equiv r^l \bar{\eta}, \tag{107}$$

where the barred variables can all be expanded in even positive powers of r. This behavior at the origin is consistent with the equations of motion, in the sense that Eqs. (87), (88) and (89) can be solved for $\ddot{\bar{\chi}}$, $\ddot{\bar{k}}$, and $\dot{\bar{\psi}}$ order by order in r, for arbitrary values of $(\dot{\bar{\chi}}, \bar{\chi}, \dot{\bar{k}}, \bar{k}, \bar{\psi}, \sigma)$.

To investigate the regularity of the velocity perturbations at the origin, we work in RW gauge, and introduce Minkowski-like coordinates t, x, y, z near the center. For simplicity of presentation we only consider the case $m = 0$. The four-velocity perturbation becomes

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta u^{\rm RW}_\mu dx^\mu = &-\left[\left(\eta - \frac{\phi}{2}\right) Y_{l0}\right] dt - \left[\alpha r^{-1} \frac{1}{\sin\theta}\frac{dY_{l0}}{d\theta}\right] dz \\ &+ \left[\left(\gamma - \frac{\psi}{2}\right) r^{-1} Y_{l0} + \alpha r^{-2}\frac{\cos\theta}{\sin\theta}\frac{dY_{l0}}{d\theta}\right](x dx + y dy + z dz)\end{aligned} \tag{108}$$

This is regular if and only if the terms in square brackets are regular scalars. Now $\cot\theta\, dY_{l0}/d\theta = -lY_{l0} + O[(\cos\theta)^{l-2}]$ and so the leading orders of α and γ can both be a factor of r^2 lower than naively expected if they are correlated. Taking this possibility into account, the matter perturbations near the origin behave as

$$l \geq 1: \qquad \alpha = r^l \bar{\alpha}, \qquad \gamma = r^{l-1}\bar{\gamma}, \qquad \text{with } \bar{\gamma} = l\bar{\alpha} + O(r^2) \text{ at } r = 0, \qquad \omega = r^l \bar{\omega}, \qquad \sigma = r^l \bar{\sigma}. \tag{109}$$

To avoid the constraint between the leading orders of $\bar{\gamma}$ and $\bar{\alpha}$, one could replace γ by $\gamma - \alpha'$ as a dependent variable that would be unconstrained, similar to the variable χ. The present notation, however, gives rise to the more transparent evolution equations. Again, the scaling at the origin is consistent with the equations of motion (96), (97) and (98). In particular, what looks like a leading term that is too large by a factor of r^{-2} cancels in each case. Furthermore, the constraint between the leading terms of α and γ is conserved by the evolution.

The constraint equations (93), (94), (95) can be solved consistently for $\bar{\gamma}$, $\bar{\omega}$ and $\bar{\alpha}$, order by order in r. The converse is almost true. For given $\bar{\chi}$, $\dot{\bar{\chi}}$, $\bar{\sigma}$, $\bar{\alpha}$, $\bar{\omega}$ and $\bar{\gamma}$, these equations can be solved order by order for $\bar{\psi}$, $\bar{k}$ and $\dot{\bar{k}}$, with the exception of the leading order $\bar{k}_0$ of $\bar{k}$ and the leading order $\bar{\psi}_0$ of $\bar{\psi}$. The gravitational wave degrees of freedom are therefore the functions $\bar{\chi}$, $\dot{\bar{\chi}}$, plus the two numbers $\bar{k}_0$ and $\bar{\psi}_0$, while the matter degrees of freedom are either the functions $\bar{\omega}$, $\bar{\gamma}$, $\bar{\alpha}$, or alternatively the functions $\bar{k}$ without $\bar{k}_0$, $\dot{\bar{k}}$, and $\bar{\psi}$ without $\bar{\psi}_0$. In practice there is no need to distinguish between matter and metric perturbations.

D. $l = 1$ polar perturbations

The case of $l = 1$ for the polar perturbations differs from $l \geq 2$ in two important aspects. First, the trivial field equation that stated that $\eta = 0$ no longer holds, so we have an additional variable. Second, the variables we have defined for $l \geq 2$ exist for $l = 1$, but are only partially gauge-invariant. Under a gauge transformation generated by the vector $\xi_\mu dx^\mu = \tilde{\xi}_A Y dx^A + r^2 \xi Y_{:a} dx^a$, we have the following change in the metric perturbations:

$$k_{AB} \longrightarrow k_{AB} + (r^2\xi_{|A})_{|B} + (r^2\xi_{|B})_{|A}, \tag{110}$$

$$k \longrightarrow k + 2\xi + (r^2)^{|A}\xi_{|A}. \tag{111}$$

Decomposed in the fluid basis, this is:

$$\eta \longrightarrow \eta + 2r^2(-U\dot{\xi} + W\xi') + r^2(-\ddot{\xi} + \xi'' + \nu\xi' - \mu\dot{\xi}), \tag{112}$$

$$\chi \longrightarrow \chi - 2\xi + 2r^2\left[W\xi' + \xi'' + (U - \mu)\dot{\xi}\right], \tag{113}$$

$$\psi \longrightarrow \psi - 2r^2(U\xi' + W\dot{\xi}) - r^2\left[(\dot{\xi})' + (\xi')^{\cdot} - \mu\xi' - \nu\dot{\xi}\right], \tag{114}$$

$$k \longrightarrow k + 2\xi + 2r^2(-U\dot{\xi} + W\xi'). \tag{115}$$

We need to impose one gauge condition in order to fix the gauge freedom parameterized by ξ. It is tempting to extend Seidel's free evolution scheme to $l = 1$. Clearly, one can use the gauge freedom to set $\eta = 0$ by solving (112) as a

wave equation for ξ. This still leaves us with two free functions to specify the gauge completely, such as ξ and $\dot{\xi}$ on one spacelike slice. As there are no dipole ($l = 1$) gravitational waves, one would also like to make the gauge choice $\chi = 0$, as we have seen that χ obeys a wave equation at the speed of light. While the two free functions could be used to set χ and $\dot{\chi}$ to zero on one slice, χ could not be made to disappear on every slice. Conversely, one might be able to solve (113) as a second-order constraint equation for ξ on each slice in order set $\chi = 0$, which would leave us with one free function of one variable, such as η at the center as a function of time. (η' at the center would be fixed by imposing regularity). That means that we would be stuck with at least this constraint to solve. Furthermore, the presence of the term $(U - \mu)\dot{\xi}$ in (113) complicates the interpretation as a constraint, as the hypersurface on which this equation becomes an ODE in the radial coordinate is not a natural one to choose for any other purpose.

All this is not promising. Instead we follow Thorne [5] in choosing the gauge $k = 0$. We might call this gauge the radial perturbation gauge, as the background scalar r remains the area radius in the perturbed spacetime. We now use the matter equations (98)–(101) to evolve the matter perturbations ω, γ, α and σ. These evolution equations contain η, ψ and χ (but not their derivatives), while k vanishes by our gauge choice. We can solve the five Einstein equations (88), (89), (93), (94), (95) for $\dot{\chi}$ and χ', $\dot{\psi}$ and ψ', but only for one linear combination of $\dot{\eta}$ and η', namely $D\eta$, where the differential operator D is defined as

$$Df = r^{-1}|v|^{-2}(Wf' - U\dot{f}) = \frac{r^{|A}f_{|A}}{r^{|B}r_{|B}} \tag{116}$$

which is just $\partial/\partial r$ on a spacelike surface that is everywhere orthogonal to the surfaces $r =$ const. (a polar slice). The expression for $D\eta$ is given in Appendix A. The remaining sixth Einstein equation (87) does not tell us anything new: as it contains $\ddot{\chi}$, it follows as a consequence of the other equations. The fact that we do not have $\dot{\eta}$ and η' independently means that we can integrate the constraint for η only on a surface that is normal everywhere to the surfaces $r =$ const. As we are restricted to these surfaces anyway, we also give only $D\chi$ and $D\psi$ in Appendix A.

The three constraint equations form three coupled first-order linear ODEs. To analyze them we define again regularized variables:

$$\chi \equiv r^3\bar{\chi}, \qquad \psi \equiv r^2\bar{\psi}, \qquad \eta \equiv r\bar{\eta}, \tag{117}$$

$$\gamma \equiv \bar{\gamma}, \qquad \alpha \equiv r\bar{\alpha}, \qquad \text{with } \bar{\gamma} = \bar{\alpha} + O(r^2) \text{ at } r = 0, \qquad \omega \equiv r\bar{\omega}, \qquad \sigma \equiv r\bar{\sigma}. \tag{118}$$

The constraints given in Appendix A give two relations among the leading terms of these new variables:

$$\bar{\chi} = \frac{8\pi\rho}{5}\left[\bar{\omega} - 8U\bar{\alpha}\left(1 + \frac{p}{\rho}\right)\right] - 2U^2\bar{\eta} + O(r^2) \quad \text{at } r = 0, \tag{119}$$

$$\bar{\psi} = 8\pi\bar{\alpha}(\rho + p) + 2U\bar{\eta} + O(r^2) \quad \text{at } r = 0. \tag{120}$$

Note that imposing $k = 0$ we still have the residual freedom of functions ξ obeying $\xi + r^3|v|^2 D\xi = 0$. We have to give a boundary condition for this ODE at $r = 0$ at each instant of time, and therefore the residual freedom is a single function of time. We can use this function to set $\bar{\eta} = O(r^2)$ at the center.

E. $l = 0$ polar (spherical) perturbations

The case of spherical ($l = 0$ polar) perturbations has the same problem with η as the $l = 1$ case. It differs in that the polar matter perturbation parameterized by α does not exist, and that there are now two (polar) gauge degrees of freedom, with no remnants of gauge-invariance. We impose the gauge

$$k = 0, \qquad \hat{\psi} \equiv \psi - \frac{2UW}{U^2 + W^2}(\eta - \chi) = 0. \tag{121}$$

Here $\hat{\psi}$ is ψ in the radial (instead of the fluid) frame, see Appendix B. In this gauge we have two metric perturbations, η and χ, which obey constraints given in Appendix A. As in the $l = 1$ case, only the combination $D\eta$ of $\dot{\eta}$ and η' is known. Using these constraints, the metric perturbations can be calculated from the matter perturbations γ, ω, σ. This gauge is the only one in which we have found simple evolution equations. Note that a natural coordinate choice for the background spacetime are polar-radial coordinates which are defined by $g_{\theta\theta} = r^2$, $g_{tr} = 0$. D is then just $\partial/\partial r$ in these coordinates, and the gauge choice $k = \hat{\psi} = 0$ just means that the perturbed metric (which is still spherical) has the same form as the background metric. Here we give the spherical perturbation equations in our notation, rather than in polar-radial coordinates, for the purpose of a unified presentation of all perturbations. Given that the

spherical perturbations are so much messier than the $l \geq 2$ perturbations, however, one might as well calculate them in the same gauge choice one has already adopted for the background spacetime.

The matter perturbations obey the evolution equations (96), (97), (101), which contain derivatives of the metric perturbations. The latter can be eliminated, using the perturbed Einstein equations. The resulting equations are given in Appendix A.

Again, we introduce regularized variables:

$$\chi \equiv r^2 \bar{\chi}, \qquad \eta \equiv \bar{\eta}, \tag{122}$$

$$\gamma \equiv r\bar{\gamma}, \qquad \omega \equiv \bar{\omega}, \qquad \sigma \equiv \bar{\sigma}. \tag{123}$$

Note that now we do not have any cancellation of leading orders in r, so we obtain the powers of r naively expected. The constraints give only one condition at the center:

$$\bar{\chi} = \frac{8\pi\rho}{3}\bar{\omega} - 2U^2\bar{\eta} + O(r^2) \quad \text{at } r = 0. \tag{124}$$

Again, we have a residual gauge freedom that we can use to set $\bar{\eta} = O(r^2)$ at the center.

V. CONCLUSIONS

We have given the field equations for all spherical and non-spherical linear perturbations of a spherically symmetric but time-dependent self-gravitating perfect fluid. In this task we have applied a formalism created by Gerlach and Sengupta [10]. We have generalized and clarified previous results by Thorne and coworkers [1–6], Ipser and Price [8] and Seidel [9]. Our formulation is distinguished by the following nice features.

1) Our perturbation variables are linearly gauge-independent (with the exception of the polar $l = 0, 1$ perturbations, see below). This means that we can be sure to only count physical degrees of freedom. Our results can be translated into any particular gauge (for example Regge-Wheeler gauge) by explicit algebraic formulas.

2) Using the fact that the fluid provides a preferred frame, we have decomposed both tensor variables and tensor equations into frame components. This procedure clarifies how many degrees of freedom are present, which perturbed Einstein equations are evolution equations and which are constraints, and what are the characteristic speeds. We find that in the sense of free functions on a Cauchy surface, the axial $l = 1$ perturbations have 1 gauge-invariant degree of freedom, the axial $l \geq 2$ perturbations have 3 (including one gravitational wave polarization), the polar $l = 0$ perturbations 3, the polar $l = 1$ perturbations 4, and the polar $l \geq 2$ perturbations 6 (including the other gravitational wave polarization and sound waves).

3) All the final background and perturbation equations are given in terms of frame derivatives of spacetime scalars or frame components of tensors. This means that our equations can be easily translated into any coordinate system for the background (for example comoving or polar-radial coordinates).

4) With the exception of the polar $l = 0, 1$ perturbations (see below), we have split the gauge-invariant perturbations into a set of variables that can be specified freely on a Cauchy surface, and that evolve freely among themselves, and another set of variables that are determined by the first set through algebraic constraints. Writing out only the highest derivatives of each variable, the axial perturbation free evolution equations for $l \geq 2$ are

$$\begin{aligned} -\ddot{\Pi} + \Pi'' &= \dots, \\ \dot{\beta} &= \dots, \end{aligned}$$

and the polar free evolution equations for $l \geq 2$ are

$$\begin{aligned} -\ddot{\chi} + \chi'' + 2(\mu - U)\psi' &= \dots, \\ -\ddot{k} + c_s^2 k'' - 2c_s^2 U\psi' &= \dots, \\ \dot{\psi} &= \dots, \\ \dot{\sigma} &= \dots, \end{aligned}$$

where c_s^2 is the local sound speed, and the coefficients U and μ vanish in a static background. Having a set of free evolution equations that are manifestly hyperbolic is helpful for numerical work, in particular if one wants to use characteristic methods. (An example is given in the Appendix.)

5) No gauge-invariant variables exist for the polar $l = 0, 1$ perturbations. Nevertheless we define the same variables for $l = 0, 1$ as for $l \geq 2$. As these variables are not fully gauge-invariant for $l = 0, 1$, additional constraints must be

imposed to fix the gauge, but we can make use of the fact that our variables are still partially gauge-invariant for $l = 1$. This clarifies the role of the polar $l = 1$ perturbations, and in particular the number of degrees of freedom.

6) We allow for a two-parameter equation of state $p = p(\rho, s)$ and take into account perturbations of the entropy s. Our framework can easily be generalized to multi-component fluids.

We believe that our formulation characterizes the perturbation initial value problem more clearly and concisely than previous work, and is also a good starting point for its numerical solution.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank Jesús Maíz, Vincent Moncrief, Ed Seidel and Bernd Schmidt for helpful conversations, and Toni Font, John Friedman and Kip Thorne for helpful comments on the manuscript. J.M.M. would like to thank the Albert-Einstein-Institut for hospitality.

APPENDIX A: FULL POLAR PERTURBATION EQUATIONS

Here are the missing source terms in Eqs. (87)–(89) and (93)–(100). Recall that some of the equations to which these sources belong are not valid for $l = 0$ or $l = 1$. On the other hand, note that $\eta = 0$ for $l \geq 2$. Note also that we have used the background equations to eliminate all occurrences of $\dot{\Omega}$, Ω', $\dot{U}$, U', $\dot{W}$, W', and $\dot{\mu} - \nu'$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_\chi = & -2\left[2\nu^2 + 8\pi\rho - \frac{6m}{r^3} - 2U(\mu - U)\right](\chi + k) + \frac{(l-1)(l+2)}{r^2}\chi \\
& + 3\mu\dot{\chi} + 4(\mu - U)\dot{k} - (5\nu - 2W)\chi' - 2[2\mu\nu - 2(\mu - U)W + \mu' - \dot{\nu}]\psi \\
& + 2\eta'' - 2(\mu - U)\dot{\eta} + (8\nu - 6W)\eta' - \left[-4\nu^2 + \frac{l(l+1)+8}{r^2} + 8\nu W + 4(2\mu U + U^2 - 4W^2 - 8\pi\rho)\right]\eta, \qquad \text{(A1)} \\
S_k = & (1 + c_s^2)U\dot{\chi} + [4U + c_s^2(\mu + 2U)]\dot{k} - W(1 - c_s^2)\chi' - (\nu + 2Wc_s^2)k' \\
& - \left[2\left(\frac{1}{r^2} - W^2\right) + 8\pi p - c_s^2\left(\frac{l(l+1)}{r^2} + 2U(2\mu + U) - 8\pi\rho\right)\right](\chi + k) \\
& - \frac{(l-1)(l+2)}{2r^2}(1 + c_s^2)\chi + 2[-\mu W(1 - c_s^2) + (\nu + W)U(1 + c_s^2)]\psi + 8\pi C\rho\sigma \\
& - 2U\dot{\eta} + 2W\eta' + \left[\frac{l(l+1)+2}{r^2} - 6W^2 + 16\pi p - 2U(2\mu + U)c_s^2\right]\eta, \qquad \text{(A2)} \\
S_\psi = & \, 2\nu(\chi + k) + 2\mu\psi + \chi' - 2\eta(\nu - W) - 2\eta', \qquad \text{(A3)} \\
C_\gamma = & -W\dot{\chi} + U\chi' - (\mu - 2U)k' + \frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{l(l+1)+2}{r^2} + 2U(2\mu + U) - 2W(2\nu + W) + 8\pi(p - \rho)\right]\psi - 2U\eta', \qquad \text{(A4)} \\
C_\omega = & \left[\frac{l(l+1)}{r^2} + 2U(2\mu + U) - 8\pi\rho\right](\chi + k) - \frac{(l-1)(l+2)}{2r^2}\chi + 2[\nu U + (\mu + U)W]\psi \\
& + U\dot{\chi} + (\mu + 2U)\dot{k} + W\chi' - 2Wk' - 2\eta U(2\mu + U), \qquad \text{(A5)} \\
C_\alpha = & \, 2\mu(\chi + k) + 2\nu\psi + \dot{\chi} + 2\dot{k} - 2\eta(\mu + U), \qquad \text{(A6)} \\
S_\omega = & \left(1 + \frac{p}{\rho}\right)\left[-\frac{l(l+1)}{r^2}\alpha + \frac{\dot{\chi} + 3\dot{k}}{2} + \left(\nu + 2W - \frac{\nu}{c_s^2}\right)\left(\gamma + \frac{\psi}{2}\right)\right] + (\mu + 2U)\left(c_s^2 - \frac{p}{\rho}\right)\omega \\
& - C\left[\left(\gamma + \frac{\psi}{2}\right)\frac{s'}{c_s^2} - \sigma(\mu + 2U)\right], \qquad \text{(A7)} \\
S_\gamma = & \left(1 + \frac{p}{\rho}\right)\left[\frac{\chi' + k' - 2\eta'}{2} + \left[c_s^2(\mu + 2U) - \mu\right]\left(\gamma - \frac{\psi}{2}\right)\right] - \nu\left(c_s^2 - \frac{p}{\rho} - \frac{\rho + p}{c_s^2}\frac{\partial c_s^2}{\partial\rho}\right)\omega \\
& - C\sigma' - \sigma\left[C\left(\nu - \frac{s'}{c_s^2}\frac{\partial c_s^2}{\partial s}\right) + s'\frac{\partial C}{\partial s} - \nu\left(1 + \frac{p}{\rho}\right)\frac{1}{c_s^2}\frac{\partial c_s^2}{\partial s}\right] - \omega s'\left[\frac{\partial c_s^2}{\partial s} - C\left(1 + \frac{\rho}{c_s^2}\frac{\partial c_s^2}{\partial\rho}\right)\right], \qquad \text{(A8)} \\
S_\alpha = & -\frac{k + \chi}{2} + \eta - c_s^2(\mu + 2U)\alpha + \frac{c_s^2\omega + C\sigma}{1 + \frac{p}{\rho}}, \qquad \text{(A9)}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$\bar{S}_\omega = \left(1+\frac{p}{\rho}\right)\left[\left(-\frac{l(l+1)}{r^2}+8\pi(\rho+p)\right)\alpha+\frac{\dot{k}}{2}+(\mu+U)\eta-\mu(\chi+k)\right]+(\mu+2U)\left(c_s^2-\frac{p}{\rho}\right)\omega$$
$$+C(\mu+2U)\sigma-\frac{1}{c_s^2}\left[s'C+\left(1+\frac{p}{\rho}\right)(\nu-2Wc_s^2)\right]\left(\gamma+\frac{\psi}{2}\right)+\nu\left(1+\frac{p}{\rho}\right)\left(\gamma-\frac{\psi}{2}\right), \tag{A10}$$

$$\bar{S}_\gamma = \left(1+\frac{p}{\rho}\right)\left[\frac{k'}{2}+\left(c_s^2(\mu+2U)-\mu\right)\left(\gamma-\frac{\psi}{2}\right)-\mu\psi-\nu(\chi+k)+(\nu-W)\eta\right]$$
$$-C\sigma'-\sigma C\left[\nu+\frac{s'}{C}\frac{\partial C}{\partial s}-\left(\frac{\nu}{C}\left(1+\frac{p}{\rho}\right)+s'\right)\frac{1}{c_s^2}\frac{\partial c_s^2}{\partial s}\right]$$
$$+\omega\left[\nu\left(\frac{p}{\rho}-c_s^2\right)+s'\left(C-\frac{\partial c_s^2}{\partial s}\right)+[\nu(\rho+p)+\rho Cs']\frac{1}{c_s^2}\frac{\partial c_s^2}{\partial\rho}\right]. \tag{A11}$$

The matter perturbation equations for the polar $l=1$ perturbations are the same as for $l\geq 2$, namely (99), (101). The three constraint equations for the polar $l=1$ perturbations that we require are

$$r|v|^2 D\eta = 4\pi\rho(1-c_s^2)\omega-16\pi(\rho+p)U\alpha-4\pi\rho C\sigma$$
$$-\left(\frac{2}{r^2}-3W^2+8\pi p+U^2\right)\eta-\left[W^2+U^2-4\pi(\rho+p)\right]\chi-2UW\psi, \tag{A12}$$

$$r|v|^2 D\chi = 8\pi\rho\omega-32\pi(\rho+p)U\alpha-\left(\frac{2}{r^2}+2U^2-8\pi\rho\right)\chi+2\left[U\nu-(\mu+U)W\right]\psi-2U^2\eta, \tag{A13}$$

$$r|v|^2 D\psi = 8\pi(\rho+p)(\gamma+2W\alpha)+2(\mu W-\nu U)(\eta-\chi)+4UW\eta-\left[U^2-W^2+\frac{2}{r^2}+4\pi(p-\rho)\right]\psi. \tag{A14}$$

The matter evolution equations for the polar $l=0$, or spherical perturbations are

$$-\dot{\omega}-\left(1+\frac{p}{\rho}\right)\gamma' = (\mu+2U)C\sigma-\omega\left[4\pi\frac{U}{|v|^2}(\rho+p)+(\mu+2U)\left(\frac{p}{\rho}-c_s^2\right)\right]$$
$$-\gamma\left[\frac{Cs'}{c_s^2}-\left(1+\frac{p}{\rho}\right)\left(-4\pi\frac{W}{|v|^2}(\rho+p)+\nu+2W-\frac{\nu}{c_s^2}\right)\right]$$
$$-(\chi-\eta)\left[-\frac{UW}{U^2+W^2}\frac{Cs'}{c_s^2}\right.$$
$$\left.+\left(1+\frac{p}{\rho}\right)\left(\mu+U-\nu\frac{UW}{U^2+W^2}\frac{1+c_s^2}{c_s^2}+\frac{U}{2}\frac{|v|^2}{U^2+W^2}+4\pi\frac{UW^2}{-U^4+W^4}(\rho+p)\right)\right]$$
$$-\eta\frac{U}{|v|^2}\left(1+\frac{p}{\rho}\right)\left(-\frac{1}{2r^2}+4\pi\rho\right), \tag{A15}$$

$$\left(1+\frac{p}{\rho}\right)\dot{\gamma}+c_s^2\omega' = \gamma\left(1+\frac{p}{\rho}\right)\left(-4\pi\frac{U}{|v|^2}(\rho+p)-\mu+(\mu+2U)c_s^2\right)$$
$$-\sigma\left[\nu\left(C-\left(1+\frac{p}{\rho}\right)\frac{1}{c_s^2}\frac{\partial c_s^2}{\partial s}\right)+4\pi\frac{WC}{|v|^2}(\rho+p)+s'\left(\frac{\partial C}{\partial s}-\frac{C}{c_s^2}\frac{\partial c_s^2}{\partial s}\right)\right]$$
$$-\omega\left[\nu\left(c_s^2-\frac{p}{\rho}-(\rho+p)\frac{1}{c_s^2}\frac{\partial c_s^2}{\partial\rho}\right)+4\pi\frac{Wc_s^2}{|v|^2}(\rho+p)-s'\left(C-\frac{\partial c_s^2}{\partial s}+\frac{\rho C}{c_s^2}\frac{\partial c_s^2}{\partial\rho}\right)\right]$$
$$-(\chi-\eta)\left(1+\frac{p}{\rho}\right)\left(\nu-\mu\frac{UW}{U^2+W^2}(1+c_s^2)-\frac{2U^2W}{U^2+W^2}c_s^2+\frac{W}{2}\frac{|v|^2}{U^2+W^2}+4\pi\frac{U^2W}{U^4-W^4}(\rho+p)\right)$$
$$-\eta\frac{W}{|v|^2}\left(1+\frac{p}{\rho}\right)\left(\frac{1}{2r^2}+4\pi p\right)-C\sigma', \tag{A16}$$

$$\dot{\sigma} = -s'\left(\gamma+\frac{UW}{U^2+W^2}(\eta-\chi)\right). \tag{A17}$$

The remaining two metric perturbations η and χ can be obtained from the constraints

$$r|v|^2 D\eta = 4\pi(\rho+p)\left(\chi+\frac{2U^2}{|v|^2}\eta\right)+8\pi(\rho+p)\frac{2UW}{|v|^2}\gamma+4\pi\rho\frac{U^2+W^2}{|v|^2}(C\sigma+(1+c_s^2)\omega), \tag{A18}$$

$$r|v|^2 D\chi = \frac{4UW}{U^2+W^2}\left(\mu W - \nu U + 4\pi\frac{UW}{|v|^2}(\rho+p)\right)(\chi-\eta) + \left(-\frac{1}{r^2}+8\pi\rho\right)\left(\chi+\frac{2U^2}{|v|^2}\eta\right)$$
$$+8\pi(\rho+p)\frac{2UW}{|v|^2}\gamma + 8\pi\rho\frac{U^2+W^2}{|v|^2}\omega. \tag{A19}$$

APPENDIX B: BACKGROUND EXPRESSIONS IN POLAR-RADIAL COORDINATES

Excepting null coordinates, there are two natural coordinate systems for spherically symmetric fluid spacetimes. Polar radial coordinates r, t use the scalar r as one coordinate, and make t orthogonal to it, so that the metric is

$$ds^2 = -\alpha^2 dt^2 + a^2 dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega^2 \tag{B1}$$

The gauge is fixed completely when α is fixed to be 1 at $r = 0$ (or at $r = \infty$). In these coordinates we have

$$U = \frac{u^r}{r}, \qquad W = \frac{n^r}{r}, \qquad |v|^2 = \frac{1}{a^2 r^2}, \qquad \frac{2m}{r^3} = 1 - a^{-2} \tag{B2}$$
$$u^t = \frac{1}{\alpha\sqrt{1-V^2}}, \qquad u^r = \frac{V}{a\sqrt{1-V^2}}, \tag{B3}$$
$$n^t = \frac{V}{\alpha\sqrt{1-V^2}}, \qquad n^r = \frac{1}{a\sqrt{1-V^2}}, \tag{B4}$$
$$\mu = u^t\left(\frac{a_{,t}}{a} + \frac{VV_{,t}}{1-V^2}\right) + n^r\left(\frac{V\alpha_{,r}}{\alpha} + \frac{V_{,r}}{1-V^2}\right), \tag{B5}$$
$$\nu = u^t\left(\frac{Va_{,t}}{a} + \frac{V_{,t}}{1-V^2}\right) + n^r\left(\frac{\alpha_{,r}}{\alpha} + \frac{VV_{,r}}{1-V^2}\right). \tag{B6}$$

The first derivatives of the metric that appear here are given by the Einstein equations (6),

$$\frac{a^2-1}{2r} + \frac{a,r}{a} = 4\pi a^2 r\frac{\rho+V^2p}{1-V^2}, \tag{B7}$$
$$\frac{1-a^2}{2r} + \frac{\alpha,r}{\alpha} = 4\pi a^2 r\frac{V^2\rho+p}{1-V^2}, \tag{B8}$$
$$-\frac{a,t}{\alpha} = 4\pi a^2 r\frac{V(\rho+p)}{1-V^2}. \tag{B9}$$

The Einstein equation (7) is a combination of derivatives of these three. The matter evolution equations are, eliminating all derivatives of the metric variables:

$$(1-c_s^2V^2)\frac{\rho_{,t}}{\alpha} + (1-c_s^2)V\frac{\rho_{,r}}{a} = \frac{1}{a}\left[4\pi a^2 r(\rho+p)^2V - (\rho+p)(\frac{2V}{r}+V_{,r}) + VC\rho s_{,r}(1-V^2)\right], \tag{B10}$$
$$(1-c_s^2V^2)\frac{V_{,t}}{\alpha} + (1-c_s^2)V\frac{V_{,r}}{a} = \frac{1}{a}(1-V^2)\left[-4\pi a^2 r(\rho c_s^2V^2+p) - (1-V^2)\frac{\rho C s_{,r} + c_s^2\rho_{,r}}{\rho+p} + \frac{1-a^2}{2r} + \frac{3+a^2}{2r}c_s^2V^2\right], \tag{B11}$$
$$\frac{s_{,t}}{\alpha} + V\frac{s_{,r}}{a} = 0. \tag{B12}$$

APPENDIX C: BACKGROUND EXPRESSIONS IN COMOVING COORDINATES, AND COMPARISON WITH SEIDEL

The metric in comoving coordinates, using the notation of Seidel [9], is

$$ds^2 \equiv -N^2 d\tau^2 + A^2 d\mu^2 + R^2 d\Omega^2. \tag{C1}$$

Note there are three independent metric functions, and that Seidel's R is our r. The condition that lines of constant μ are particle worldlines leads to

$$u^\tau = N^{-1}, \quad u^\mu = 0, \quad n^\tau = 0, \quad n^\mu = A^{-1}. \tag{C2}$$

This, and fixing the value of N at the center fixes the coordinate freedom, up to a relabeling of the radial coordinate $\mu \to \mu'(\mu)$. In these coordinates we have (using our notation on the left-hand side, and Seidel's on the right-hand side)

$$U = \frac{R_{,\tau}}{NR}, \qquad W = \frac{R_{,\mu}}{AR}, \qquad \mu = \frac{A_{,\tau}}{AN}, \qquad \nu = \frac{N_{,\mu}}{AN}, \qquad \frac{2m}{r^3} = 1 - \frac{R^2_{,\mu}}{A^2} + \frac{R^2_{,\tau}}{N^2}. \tag{C3}$$

Seidel fixes the gauge freedom in μ by imposing $A = (4\pi\rho R^2)^{-1}$. This means that his radial coordinate is mass-like, with $\mu \simeq 4\pi r^3\rho/3$ to leading order.

The gauge-invariant metric perturbations of Seidel [9] are related to our variables in the following way. (Seidel's notation is used on the left-hand sides, and our notation on the right-hand sides.)

$$q_1 = \phi + \eta, \tag{C4}$$
$$q_2 = k, \tag{C5}$$
$$N^{-1}\pi_1 = (\phi + \eta)\dot{} + 2\psi' + 2\nu\psi + \mu(\phi - \eta), \tag{C6}$$
$$N^{-1}\pi_2 = \dot{k} + 2W\psi + U(\phi - \eta), \tag{C7}$$
$$N^{-1}A^{-1}R^2\pi_4 = \psi. \tag{C8}$$

The η terms are contained in Seidel's definition, but $\eta = 0$ for $l \geq 2$ by one of the Einstein equations. Seidel's conditions for regularity at the origin for $l = 2$, although somewhat complicated in appearance, are equivalent to our conditions (107) if one takes into account Seidel's' definition of A and μ.

APPENDIX D: STATIC BACKGROUND, AND COMPARISON WITH THORNE *ET AL.*

As previous work by Thorne and coworkers, and other authors, was restricted to finding pulsation modes of a static background solution, we should point out here how their results fit into our wider framework.

On a static background the fluid and radial bases coincide, and therefore the comoving and radial coordinates coincide. We choose a coordinate system of the form $-N^2dt^2 + A^2dr^2 + r^2d\Omega^2$. (Thorne uses $N^2 = e^\nu$ and $A^2 = e^\lambda$.) The background scalars are:

$$U = \mu = 0, \qquad W = \frac{1}{rA}, \qquad \nu = Ar\left(\frac{m}{r^3} + 4\pi p\right). \tag{D1}$$

$\dot{\nu}$ and all other time derivatives also vanish.

Our axial gauge-invariant perturbations, when restricted to RW gauge, are related to the RW gauge axial perturbations of Thorne by

$$u^A k_A = \frac{1}{N}h_0, \qquad n^A k_A = \frac{1}{A}h_1, \qquad \beta = \frac{1}{N}U_{\mathrm{T},t}, \tag{D2}$$

where we have introduced the subscript T to distinguish Thorne's variable from our U background scalar.

We first consider the $l \geq 2$ axial perturbations. On a static background we have $\dot{\beta} = 0$, and this agrees with equation $U_{T,tt} = 0$ in Appendix B of [1]. Ref. [1] also states that axial-parity gravitational waves do not couple to the stellar matter, because the only matter perturbations that have wave-like solutions (namely sound waves) are polar. This is true only on a static background: Eq. (71) shows that the axial gravitational waves can couple to a non-vanishing β times an oscillating background coefficient.

For the axial $l = 1$ perturbations, we still have $\dot{\beta} = 0$, and β is the only physical perturbation, corresponding to the "Ω" of [5].

We now consider the $l \geq 2$ polar perturbations. For a static background, $\mu = U = 0$, and therefore ψ and ψ' are not present in the wave equations for χ and k [see Eqs. (A1)–(A2)]. Furthermore, as S_ψ does not contain ψ or ψ', ψ can be obtained simply by integrating $-\dot{\psi} = S_\psi = 2\nu(\chi + k) + \chi'$. In this sense, there are only 4 dynamical degrees of freedom (not counting the entropy perturbation), while ψ plays a passive role. Nevertheless, ψ can be specified freely in the initial data, and in this sense there are really 5 degrees of freedom (not counting the entropy perturbation), even on the static background.

Let us see how this fits into Thorne's formalism. Our metric gauge-invariant metric perturbations, when restricted to RW gauge, are related to the RW gauge metric perturbations of Thorne by

$$\eta = \frac{H_0 - H_2}{2}, \qquad \phi = -\frac{H_2 + H_0}{2}, \qquad \psi = \frac{H_1}{NA}, \qquad k = -K. \tag{D3}$$

Our matter perturbations α, γ, ω are related to those of Thorne, $V_{\rm T}, W_{\rm T}$, by

$$\gamma + \frac{\psi}{2} = -\frac{1}{r^2 N} W_{{\rm T},t}, \qquad \alpha = \frac{1}{N} V_{{\rm T},t}, \qquad \omega = \left(1 + \frac{p}{\rho}\right)\left[-\frac{1}{r^2 A}\left(W_{{\rm T},r} + W_{\rm T}\frac{\rho_{,r}}{\rho + p}\right) - \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2} V_{\rm T} + K + \frac{H_2}{2}.\right] \tag{D4}$$

Again, we have added the subscript T to distinguish two of Thorne's variables which might be confused with our variables. We have re-obtained all equations (8a-d) ,(9a-c), (C3-4), (C6-7) in [1] [except for a wrong r^2 factor in (9a)]. It is implicit in these equations that $V_{\rm T}$, $V_{{\rm T},t}$, $W_{\rm T}$, $W_{{\rm T},t}$, K and $K_{,t}$ can all be set freely on an initial Cauchy surface. Note however that $V_{\rm T}$ and $W_{\rm T}$ (undifferentiated) only enter into the equations through their combination in ω, and we consider only this combination as physical. Thus we count 5 polar perturbation degrees of freedom.

In discussing the degrees of freedom in more detail we first neglect entropy. The fundamental fluid perturbation variable in the framework of Thorne and coworkers is a 3-dimensional displacement vector parameterized by $U_{\rm T}$ (axial) and $V_{\rm T}$ and $W_{\rm T}$ (polar). Its time derivative constitutes the fluid velocity perturbation, corresponding to our variables β, α and γ. The displacement generates a perturbation in the particle-number density (and hence in the energy density and pressure).

In our framework, we take the point of view that apart from the velocity perturbations, only the density perturbation ω (a linear combination of $V_{\rm T}$ and $W_{\rm T}$) is observable, but not the fluid displacements $U_{\rm T}$, $V_{\rm T}$ and $W_{\rm T}$ separately. In other words, two perfect fluid initial data sets in which the fluid particles are arranged differently, but with the same (Eulerian) density and velocity, are considered experimentally indistinguishable.

The framework of Thorne and coworkers has therefore two degrees of freedom more than ours. They are $U_{\rm T}$ (axial), and a linear combination of $V_{\rm T}$ and $W_{\rm T}$ (polar) that is linearly independent of ω (for example just $V_{\rm T}$). These degrees of freedom play only a passive role dynamically, and would be considered "gauge" in our framework. In Thorne's approach they are considered physical, with the assumption that there exist other properties that provide physical "labels" on the particles.

Finally, we come back to entropy. In our formalism we explicitly add an Eulerian perturbation σ to the degrees of freedom to account for any kind of entropy perturbation. If the entropy is not constant on the background solution, a displacement of the particles would generically produce a (Laplacian) entropy perturbation. The two linear combination of $V_{\rm T}$ and $W_{\rm T}$ could then be interpreted as the density and entropy perturbations, and both would be physical. (But entropy is not considered in [1–6], and so this is our speculation on an extension of that framework.)

For the polar $l = 1$ perturbations, we count 3 degrees of freedom, namely α, ω and γ, while [5] implies that 4 functions can be specified freely in the initial data, namely $V_{\rm T}$, $V_{{\rm T},t}$, $W_{\rm T}$ and $W_{{\rm T},t}$. This discrepancy is the same as in the general $l \geq 2$ case.

Ipser and Price [8] have made a Fourier ansatz for the $l \geq 2$ polar perturbations of a static star, with a barotropic equation of state $p = p(\rho)$ for both the background and perturbations, thus addressing the same problem as Thorne and coworkers. They find a system of two coupled second-order ODEs for the variables H_0 and K of (D3). This is similar to the equations we have obtained for χ and k, minus the equation for ψ (which plays only a passive role on the static background.)

APPENDIX E: CHANGE OF FRAME

Given the fluid basis $\{u_A, n_A\}$, any other orthonormal basis $\{\hat{u}_A, \hat{n}_A\}$ can be described as a hyperbolic rotation (boost) through an angle $\xi(x^D)$ with respect to the fluid basis:

$$\begin{pmatrix} u_A \\ n_A \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cosh\xi & \sinh\xi \\ \sinh\xi & \cosh\xi \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{u}_A \\ \hat{n}_A \end{pmatrix} \equiv R(\xi) \begin{pmatrix} \hat{u}_A \\ \hat{n}_A \end{pmatrix} \tag{E1}$$

This rotation $R(\xi)$ induces a transformation in those scalar-variables which are basis-dependent. First, if we decompose k_{AB} in the same way as Eq. (75) but now using the new basis, we have the same η, but ϕ and ψ change as

$$\begin{pmatrix} \hat{\phi} \\ \hat{\psi} \end{pmatrix} = R(2\xi) \begin{pmatrix} \phi \\ \psi \end{pmatrix} \tag{E2}$$

Note that the perturbations $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \omega$ are basis-independent by definition.

The new frame derivatives acting on a scalar f are related to the old derivatives by a single rotation:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \hat{\dot{f}} \equiv \hat{u}^A f_{|A} \\ \hat{f'} \equiv \hat{n}^A f_{|A} \end{pmatrix} = R(-\xi) \begin{pmatrix} \dot{f} \\ f' \end{pmatrix}. \tag{E3}$$

We can change to a new set of projections of v^A on the new basis and new Ricci-rotation coefficients $\hat{\mu}, \hat{\nu}$:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \hat{U} \equiv \hat{u}^A v_A \\ \hat{W} \equiv \hat{n}^A v_A \end{pmatrix} = R(-\xi) \begin{pmatrix} U \\ W \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\mu} \equiv \hat{u}^A{}_{|A} \\ \hat{\nu} \equiv \hat{n}^A{}_{|A} \end{pmatrix} = R(-\xi) \begin{pmatrix} \mu \\ \nu \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\xi'} \\ \hat{\dot{\xi}} \end{pmatrix} = R(-\xi) \begin{pmatrix} \mu - \xi' \\ \nu - \dot{\xi} \end{pmatrix} \tag{E4}$$

Of particular interest besides the fluid basis is the radial basis, where $\hat{n}^A = v^A/v$ and $\hat{u}^A = -\epsilon^{AB}\hat{n}_A$. This basis is described by $\xi = \mathrm{arcth}(V)$, that is, both bases are related by the boost of velocity V. The equations of motion for spherical perturbations take their simplest form in the radial base, not the fluid base. In particular, it is useful to impose the gauge $\hat{\psi} = 0$.

APPENDIX F: ASPECTS OF A NUMERICAL IMPLEMENTATION

In a previous application of the framework given here [11,12] we have used a numerical evolution scheme that explicitly uses the characteristic speeds and is second order in space and time. The set of free evolution equations is of the form

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + A(r,t)\frac{\partial u}{\partial r} = B(r,t)u \tag{F1}$$

in a time coordinate t and a radial coordinate r, where A and B are matrices of rank 3 for the axial perturbations and of rank 6 for the polar perturbations. Let V be the matrix of (column) eigenvectors of A. Let Λ be the diagonal matrix composed of the corresponding eigenvalues. Then $A = V\Lambda V^{-1}$. Let Λ_+ be Λ with zeros in the place of the negative eigenvalues, and Λ_- be Λ with zeros in the place of the positive eigenvalues. Let $A_+ = V\Lambda_+ V^{-1}$, and let $A_- = V\Lambda_- V^{-1}$, so that $A = A_+ + A_-$. A is quite sparse, and its eigenvalues and eigenvectors can be calculated in closed form. With this splitting of A given, we use a finite differencing scheme that is a type of iterated Crank-Nicholson scheme, while using the information about left-moving and right-moving modes. We iterate the equation

$$u_j^{n+1} = u_j^n + \Delta t \left[(A_-)_j^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \frac{4u_{j+1}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} - u_{j+2}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} - 3u_j^{n+\frac{1}{2}}}{2\Delta r} - (A_+)_j^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \frac{4u_{j-1}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} - u_{j-2}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} - 3u_j^{n+\frac{1}{2}}}{2\Delta r} + B_j^{n+\frac{1}{2}} u_j^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right]. \tag{F2}$$

Here the auxiliary variable $u^{n+\frac{1}{2}}$ is estimated as u^n for the first, forward-in-time, step, and then corrected on subsequent steps as $u^{n+\frac{1}{2}} = (u^n + u^{n+1})/2$.

The boundary $r = 0$ does not require special treatment, as $u(-r) = \pm u(r)$ for all u, so that ghost grid points with $r < 0$ are available for taking derivatives. The one-sided differencing operators we use here do not give exactly zero at $r = 0$ even if analytically $\partial u/\partial r(0) = 0$, but that is consistent: all terms in the finite difference equations combine so that numerically, too, $u(0) = 0$ at all times if $u(0) = 0$ initially. This also ensures that source terms of the form u/r in the evolution equations are well behaved numerically, and do not pose any stability problems.

[1] K. S. Thorne and A. Campolattaro, Ap. J. **149**, 591 (1967); **152**, 673 (1967).
[2] R. Price and K. S. Thorne, Ap. J. **155**, 163 (1969).
[3] K. S. Thorne, Ap. J. **158**, 1 (1969).
[4] K. S. Thorne, Ap. J. **158**, 997 (1969).
[5] A. Campolattaro and K. S. Thorne, Ap. J. **159**, 847 (1970).
[6] J. R. Ipser and K. S. Thorne, Ap. J. **181**, 181 (1973).
[7] C. T. Cunningham, R. H. Price and V. Moncrief, Ap. J. **224**, 643 (1978); **230**, 870 (1979); **236**, 674 (1980). Actually the third reference works with second order perturbation theory.

[8] J. R. Ipser and R. H. Price, Phys. Rev. **D 43**, 1768 (1991).
[9] E. W. Seidel, Phys. Rev. **D 42**, 1884 (1990).
[10] U. H. Gerlach and U. K. Sengupta, Phys. Rev. **D 19**, 2268 (1979); some misprints are corrected in Phys. Rev. **D 22**, 1300 (1980). Equation numbers in the two papers are referred to by GSx and GSIIx respectively.
[11] C. Gundlach, Phys. Rev. D **57**, R7075 (1998).
[12] J. M. Martín-García and C. Gundlach, Phys. Rev. D **59**, 064031 (1999).
[13] T. Regge and J. A. Wheeler, Phys. Rev. **108**, 1063 (1957).